# A Controlled Mean Field Model for Chiplet Population Dynamics

#### Presenter: Venkatraman Renganathan

Department of Automatic Control Lund University, Sweden

Authors: Iman Nodozi (University of California Santa Cruz), Abhishek Halder (Iowa State University), Ion Matei (Palo Alto Research Center/SRI International)

ThA26.6, CDC 2023, Marina Bay Sands, Singapore, December 14, 2023



### **Topic of this talk**

Model dynamics of "chiplet population": large ensemble of micro/nano sized particles immersed in dielectric fluid

#### **Motivating applications**

Xerographic micro-assembly for printer systems

Manufacturing of photovoltaic solar cells



Image credit: PARC

### **Topic of this talk**

Model dynamics of "chiplet population": large ensemble of micro/nano sized particles immersed in dielectric fluid

#### **Motivating applications**

Xerographic micro-assembly for printer systems

Manufacturing of photovoltaic solar cells

#### Actuation and control

Electric potential generated by very large array of small electrodes

#### Spatio-temporally non-uniform dielectrophoretic forces on the chiplets



**Image credit:** PARC

## Typical experimental setup



### **Existing state-of-the-art**

Several works on modeling the finite population:

[Lu et. al., Appl. Phys. Lett., 2014]

[Edward and Bevan, Langmuir, 2014]

[Matei *et. al., CDC,* 2020]

[Matei et. al., CDC, 2021]

[Lefevre et. al., IEEE/ASME Trans. on Mechatronics, 2022]

#### How to steer the large finite population toward desired pattern:

Vectorize the positions of all chiplets, then apply MPC [Matei et. al., US Patent 17121411]

Computation does not scale ... need new ideas

### Main idea

What we want to control is population-valued trajectory ... not a finite dim signal

Derive continuum model and design optimal control in that limit

Then apply that optimal control to large but finite population

**This work:** only the first step: derive controlled dynamics in the limit both # electrodes and # chiplets  $\rightarrow \infty$ 

### Main idea

What we want to control is population-valued trajectory ... not a finite dim signal

Derive continuum model and design optimal control in that limit

Then apply that optimal control to large but finite population

**This work:** only the first step: derive controlled dynamics in the limit both # electrodes and # chiplets  $\rightarrow \infty$ 

**Technical challenge: two types of Coulomb interactions** 

- 1. Chiplet-to-chiplet interaction
- 2. Chiplet-to-electrode interactions

Both interactions are nonlinear in state + non-affine in control input

### **Derived model**

2D position of an individual chiplet:  $oldsymbol{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ 

Causal deterministic control policy: 
$$u : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty) \mapsto [u_{\min}, u_{\max}] \subset \mathbb{R}$$
  
 $\begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$ 

At low Reynold's number in dielectric fluid (ignoring small mass of chiplet):



At time *t*, normalized chiplet population density function (PDF):  $\rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ 

The vector field:  $f^u : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^2) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^2$ 

### **Derived model: nonlocal Itô SDE**

W.l.o.g. viscous coefficient  $\mu = 1$  (else re-scale vector field)

Itô SDE for the *i* th chiplet:

$$d\mathbf{x}_{i} = \mathbf{f}^{u}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, t, u, \rho^{n}) dt + \sqrt{2\beta^{-1}} d\mathbf{w}_{i}(t) \text{ with i.i.d. } \mathbf{x}_{0i} \sim \rho_{0} \in \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}) \quad \forall i \in [n],$$

$$\rho^{n} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{\mathbf{x}_{i}} \text{ Standard Wiener process}$$

Non-local vector field:

$$f^{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t, u, \rho) = -\nabla \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi^{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, t) \rho(\boldsymbol{y}, t) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \right) = -\nabla \left( \rho * \boldsymbol{\phi}^{u} \right)$$

Controlled interaction potential Comma ... not minus Generalized convolution

#### **Derived model: controlled interaction potential** $\phi^u$

Non-local vector field:

$$\boldsymbol{f}^{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t,\boldsymbol{u},\rho) = -\nabla \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi^{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},t) \rho(\boldsymbol{y},t) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \right)$$

Controlled interaction potential =  $\phi_{cc}^{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t) + \phi_{ce}^{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t)$ 

$$\phi_{cc}^{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t) \coloneqq C_{cc}(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{2})(\bar{u}(\mathbf{y}, t) - \bar{u}(\mathbf{x}, t))^{2}/2,$$
  

$$\phi_{ce}^{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t) \coloneqq C_{ce}(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{2})(u(\mathbf{y}, t) - \bar{u}(\mathbf{x}, t))^{2}/2,$$

Capacitances (in practice, from COMSOL electrostatic simulation)

$$\bar{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \coloneqq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} C_{\text{ce}}(\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2) u(\boldsymbol{y},t) \rho(\boldsymbol{y},t) d\boldsymbol{y}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} C_{\text{ce}}(\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2) \rho(\boldsymbol{y},t) d\boldsymbol{y}}$$

### **Consistency guarantee for the mean field limit**

#### Thm. (informal)

The random empirical measure  $\rho^n \rightarrow \rho$  a.s. in the limit  $n \uparrow \infty$ 

where  $\rho$  solves the nonlinear McKean-Vlasov-Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov IVP

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \cdot (\rho f^{u}) + \beta^{-1} \Delta \rho \\ &= \nabla \cdot \left( \rho \nabla \left( \rho * \phi^{u} + \beta^{-1} (1 + \log \rho) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho(\cdot, t = 0) = \rho_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$
 (given).

### Chiplet mean field dynamics as Wass. grad flow

#### Thm. (informal)

Define "energy functional" 
$$\Phi(\rho) \coloneqq \mathbb{E}_{\rho} \Big[ \rho * \phi^{u} + \beta^{-1} \log \rho \Big]$$

Then

(i) 
$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla^W \Phi(\rho).$$

(ii)  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is a Lyapunov functional for the mean field dynamics.

# Wasserstein proximal recursion

#### Thm. (informal)

Let

$$\widehat{\Phi}(arrho, arrho_{k-1}) := \mathbb{E}_arrho ig[ arrho_{k-1} st \phi^u + eta^{-1} \log arrho ig], arrho, arrho_{k-1} \in \mathcal{P}_2ig(\mathbb{R}^2ig) \ orall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then the proximal recursion 
$$\varrho_k = \operatorname{prox}_{\tau \widehat{\Phi}}^W(\varrho_{k-1})$$
  

$$\coloneqq \underset{\varrho \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^2)}{\operatorname{arg inf}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} W^2(\varrho, \varrho_{k-1}) + \tau \widehat{\Phi}(\varrho, \varrho_{k-1}) \right\}$$

approximates the transient solutions of the mean field nonlinear PDE IVP

13

Can be used for forward simulation (instead of finite difference etc.)



### **Summary of contributions**

Derived a controlled mean field model for chiplet population dynamics

Recast it as Wasserstein gradient flow, and Wasserstein proximal recursion

#### **Ongoing efforts**

Optimal control synthesis

subject to the mean field dynamics + endpoint constraints

# Thank You

